UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/510,625	04/20/2005	Nava Zisapel	2007-120	9296	
	7590 09/02/201 FIGG, ERNST & MAN	EXAMINER			
1425 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			CLARK, SARA E		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1613		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/02/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTO-PAT-Email@rfem.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/510,625	ZISAPEL, NAVA		
Examiner	Art Unit		
SARA E. CLARK	1613		

	SARA E. CLARK	1613				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>13 August 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF		-				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appea for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF periods: 	ne same day as filing a Notice of Aplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi Il (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forther than SIX MONTHS from the mailing or ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. LED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sho set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later th may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nsion and the corresponding amount or ortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complia filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
	t muian ta tha data of filing a briaf	مط لمصمعهم مطاعمة النب				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, bu (a) They raise new issues that would require further cons (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	ideration and/or search (see NOī);	ΓE below);				
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or	r form for appeal by materially rec	auding or simplifying tr	ie issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a co		ected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116	* **	on Parit Amazar dan arit 7	OTOL 004)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpilant Amendment (I	³ 1OL-324).			
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allownon-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendmer	it canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an ex	planation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 29-37, 48, and 49. Claim(s) withdrawn consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	antoro or on the data of filing a Ni	ation of Annaal will not	he entered			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and swas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	∍d.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (Pinal Disclosure Statement).	TO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/Brian-Yong S Kwon/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1613	/SARA E. CLARK/ Examiner, Art Unit 1613					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claims are drawn to methods of promoting sleep initiation for a human by administering sustained-release melatonin in combination with a hypnotic compound, e.g., zolpidem, wherein the melatonin is administered in an amount effective to potentiate the compound's hypnotic [sleep-onset-promoting] effect. Applicant has proposed to amend the claims to recite the limitation "and enhance said human's daytime vigilance."

This limitation was not previously considered, and would require further analysis to determine the definition and scope of the term "enhanced daytime vigilance" and whether it is supported and enabled by the specification. For instance, Examples 4 and 5 disclose enhanced daytime vigilance following the administration of melatonin alone, but not in combination with a hypnotic compound; and Example 7 discloses the administration of melatonin in combination with zolpidem, but there is no information as to whether daytime vigilance is enhanced, unaffected, or diminished. In addition, this limitation would require further search to determine the state of art.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments that the newly amended claims are patentable over the cited references are most at this time due to the non-entry of the proposed amendments.